# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,577	07/06/2001	Tatsushi Hakuchoh	JP92000097US1	4077
7590 06/30/2004			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW			LOHN, JOSHUA A	
P.O. BOX 969 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2114	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	×.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/900,577	HAKUCHOH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joshua A Lohn	2114			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 July 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected to claim(s) <u>4,7,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>11 October 2001</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a) accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International in the action for the attached detailed Office action for the act	uments have been received. uments have been received in A se priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	(48)	s)/Mail Date´. Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·			

Art Unit: 2114

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carbonneau et al., United States Patent 5,835,700, Published November 10, 1998.

As per claim 1, Carbonneau discloses a method for controlling a start-up operation of a unit having at least a circuit portion and being connectable to an outside device (Carbonneau, col. 19, lines 56-57, where the CMAC board begins operation at startup and is connected to an outside device, col. 14, lines 49-51). Carbonneau further discloses the method including executing a first self-checking test when a connection between the outside device and the circuit portion is not recognized (Carbonneau, col. 12, lines 53-57, shows the test system includes diagnostic software that is operable without an outside device connection, and these first self-checking tests are executed periodically, col. 5, lines 4-10). Carbonneau also discloses executing a second self-checking test including at least a part of said first self-checking test, when the connection between the outside device and the circuit portion is recognized (Carbonneau, col. 14, lines 49-63, where the second self-checking test is a test which is initiated remotely by an outside device, this test uses the same code as the first self-checking test and would be included within it).

Art Unit: 2114

As per claim 2, Carbonneau discloses a predetermined command is transmitted from the unit to recognize the connection, and whether there is receipt of a control command output from the outside device in response to the predetermined command is detected (Carbonneau, col. 19, line 66 through col. 20, line 15, where the network integrity is detected by transmission of test patters, which act as control commands between the devices, before allowing network interaction).

As per claim 3, Carbonneau discloses the commands are communicated between the outside device and the circuit portion through an interface (Carbonneau, col. 7, lines 16-23, where the host-to-network interface module is used in communication).

As per claim 5, Carbonneau discloses that the outside device is used for making a test or adjustment in a process of analyzing a failure of the unit (Carbonneau, col. 14, line 49 through col. 15, line 10).

As per claim 6, Carbonneau discloses a method for executing a self-checking test of a unit equipped with a mechanical part for performing a predetermined operation and a control board for controlling the mechanical part (Carbonneau, col. 9, lines 59-65, where the mechanical part is a SCSI bus, and the control board is the CMAC board). Carbonneau further discloses a first step of executing a test common to a first self-checking test, which is executed when the unit is in a finished-product state (Carbonneau, col. 16, lines 32-42, where first self-checking test includes monitoring and logging of problems for storage while the system is operational) and a second self-checking test, which is executed when the unit is in an unfinished-product state (Carbonneau, col. 16, line 58 through col. 17, line 15, where the second self-checking test is the

Art Unit: 2114

operation that discovers a fatal error or an excessive number of nonfatal errors and initiates a recovery and rebuild operation, where the system is in an unfinished-product state because a faulty drive must be replaced, col. 17, lines 4-6). If the unit is operational it is in a finishedproduct state (Carbonneau, col. 16, lines 32-42). Carbonneau discloses either a first type of test or second type of test based upon if the unit is operational, or in a finished-product state, or not, in an unfinished-product state (Carbonneau, col. 16, lines 32-64).

As per claim 8, Carbonneau discloses a control board, which is combined with a mechanical part for performing a predetermined operation and stores a program for controlling the mechanical part (Carbonneau, col. 9, lines 59-65, where the mechanical part is a SCSI bus, and the control board is the CMAC board, which includes a controlling program, col. 12, lines 52-65). Carbonneau also discloses an interface for communicating data between the control board and an outside device (Carbonneau, col. 7, lines 16-23, where the host-to-network interface module is used in communication). Carbonneau further discloses wherein the program includes one or more types of self-checking test programs (Carbonneau, col. 16, lines 32-64, where one type is used in event of a fatal error and another type is used normally), and also includes a process of outputting a predetermined command to the outside device through the interface (Carbonneau, col. 19, line 63 through column 20, line 15, where the predetermined command is the data path check). Carbonneau also discloses a process of selecting and executing a specific self-checking test program from the one or more types of self-checking test programs in accordance with a control command input from the outside device through the

Art Unit: 2114

interface in response to the predetermined command (Carbonneau, col. 14, lines 44-67, where the commands are executed based upon communication from outside device).

As per claim 11, Carbonneau discloses an input-output port, which is employed at a time of debugging a program, as the interface (Carbonneau, col. 7, lines 16-23, where the host-to-network interface module is used in communication to provide input and output data transactions).

As per claim 12, Carbonneau discloses a mechanical part for performing a predetermined operation, and a control section for controlling the mechanical part (Carbonneau, col. 9, lines 59-65, where the mechanical part is a SCSI bus, and the control board is the CMAC board).

Carbonneau further discloses the control section comprises a storage unit for storing one or more types of self-checking test programs (Carbonneau, col. 12, lines 52-65) which are executed at a time of starting operation (Carbonneau, col. 19, lines 56-67). Carbonneau discloses a notification unit for informing an outside device at a predetermined time that a command is acceptable (Carbonneau, col. 20, lines 1-15, where the data path integrity test will notify the unit when data transactions are acceptable). Carbonneau discloses a selection means for selecting a self-checking test program which is executed, from among plural kinds of said self-checking test programs, based on whether or not there is a control command input from the outside device in response to the notification by said notification unit (Carbonneau, col. 5, lines 4-10, where some tests are periodic and need no outside device, and col. 14, lines 49-60, where other tests are initiated by an outside device). Carbonneau discloses an execution unit for executing the self-

Art Unit: 2114

checking test programs selected by the selection unit (Carbonneau, col. 10, lines 20-24, where the CMAC board acts as an execution unit).

As per claim 13, Carbonneau discloses the device according to claim 12, which is a tape drive unit for reading out or writing data from or to a tape, which is a storage medium (col. 20, lines 56-57, where the devices being controlled can include a tape drive unit).

As per claim 14, Carbonneau discloses a system for checking a product in a process of fabricating the product (Carbonneau, col. 16, line 58 through col. 17, line 15, where the fabrication is the determination of final working configuration, such as removal of failed drives to make working system and the checking system detects the faulty drives). Carbonneau further discloses the system being equipped with a moving part and a control section for controlling the moving part (Carbonneau, col. 9, lines 59-65, control section is CMAC board and moving part is the SCSI drive attached to the bus). Carbonneau also discloses a command, for shifting a checking process to a predetermined self-checking test, is output from the checking system, connected to the product fabricated to an extent having at least the control section, when the checking system is notified by the product that a command is acceptable (Carbonneau, col. 19, line 63 through col. 20, line 15, where CMAC determines the command is acceptable through data path testing, and is able to change to executing the various testing processes).

As per claim 15, Carbonneau discloses the checking system according to claim 14, wherein the predetermined check is made for said product given a predetermined self-checking test in response to said command output from said checking system (col. 14, lines 49-67, the remote device acts as the checking system to execute a predetermined self-checking test).

Art Unit: 2114

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 7, 9, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is provided on form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua A Lohn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3188. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoleil can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2114

SCOTT BADERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINED